# Modeling Phenotype Products through Pre-Computed Summary Statistics

#### Jack M. Wolf (he/him)

Division of Biostatistics, University of Minnesota School of Public Health

IGES 30th Annual Meeting, General Session #3 October 15, 2021

## Introduction

#### 2 Methods

3 Results

## O Discussion

#### **A Question**

What do we need to consider when we work with large biobank data?

## **A Question**

What do we need to consider when we work with large biobank data?

- Data privacy and security
- Data access and availability
- Computational costs

# Introduction

PheWeb

Search for a variant, gene, or phenotype

#### 1239: Current tobacco smoking

337030 samples



#### Key Idea

How can we leverage pre-computed summary statistics (PCSS) from biobanks to estimate statistical models fit using individual participant data (IPD)?

**Existing Methods:** 

- Multi-trait association tests (Ray & Boehnke, 2018; Dutta et al., 2019; Guo & Wu, 2019)
- Linear combinations of phenotypes (Gasdaska et al., 2019; Wolf et al., 2020)

### Goal

Approximate linear models for products of phenotypes of the form:

$$\prod_{k=1}^{m} oldsymbol{y}_{k} = oldsymbol{X}oldsymbol{eta} + oldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

using PCSS with flexible choice of covariates.

#### Goal

Approximate linear models for products of phenotypes of the form:

$$\prod_{k=1}^{m} oldsymbol{y}_{k} = oldsymbol{X}oldsymbol{eta} + oldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

using PCSS with flexible choice of covariates.

#### Why Products?

- Ratios of phenotypes
- Logical combinations of phenotypes

$$y_{i1} \wedge y_{i2} = y_{i1}y_{i2},$$
  
 $y_{i1} \vee y_{i2} = 1 - (1 - y_{i1})(1 - y_{i2})$ 



CVD = Cardiovascular disease; Cov = Covariance

Introduction

### **2** Methods

3 Results

### O Discussion

# **Assumed PCSS**

 $\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\boldsymbol{x}_1,\boldsymbol{y}_1} \ \sigma_{\boldsymbol{x}_1,\boldsymbol{y}_2} \cdots \sigma_{\boldsymbol{x}_1,\boldsymbol{y}_m} \\ \sigma_{\boldsymbol{x}_2,\boldsymbol{y}_1} & \ddots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}$  $\left[\sigma_{\boldsymbol{x}_1,\boldsymbol{x}_1}\sigma_{\boldsymbol{x}_1,\boldsymbol{x}_2}\cdots\sigma_{\boldsymbol{x}_1,\boldsymbol{x}_p}\right]$ ··. ··. :  $\begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{p}},\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{m}}} & \cdots & \cdots & \sigma_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{p}},\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{m}}} \end{bmatrix}$  $\sigma_{\boldsymbol{x}_p, \boldsymbol{x}_p}$  $p \times p$  $p \times m$  $\sigma_{oldsymbol{y}_1,oldsymbol{y}_1,oldsymbol{y}_2}\cdots\sigma_{oldsymbol{y}_1,oldsymbol{y}_m}$  $\left[\bar{x}_1\bar{x}_2\cdots\bar{x}_p\right]$  $1 \times p$  $|\bar{y}_1\bar{y}_2\cdots\bar{y}_m|$  $\sigma_{\boldsymbol{y}_m, \boldsymbol{y}_m}$  $1 \times m$  $m \times m$ 

#### Theorem

For the regression model  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \epsilon$ , with  $\epsilon_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$ , the ordinary least squares estimate for  $\beta$  is

$$\hat{oldsymbol{eta}} = (oldsymbol{X}'oldsymbol{X})^{-1}oldsymbol{X}'oldsymbol{y}$$

This can be computed via PCSS using the facts that:

$$\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X} = (n-1)\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{X}) + n\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}' \tag{1}$$

$$\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y} = (n-1)(\boldsymbol{s}_{y,x_1},\ldots,\boldsymbol{s}_{y,x_p})' + n\bar{y}\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}$$
(2)

(Wolf et al., 2020)

#### Theorem

The estimated variance of  $\hat{\beta}$  is\*

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Var}}(\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}) = \hat{\sigma}^2(oldsymbol{X}'oldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$

This can be calculated via PCSS using previous equalities and the fact that:

$$\hat{\sigma}^{2} = [(n-1)s_{y}^{2} + n\bar{y}^{2} - \hat{\beta}' \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{y}]/(n-p)$$
(3)

(Wolf et al., 2020)

To approximate the covariance between  $x_j$  and the product  $w = y_1 y_2$  we estimate the conditional mean of w given  $x_j$  as

$$g(w|x) = g(y_1|x)g(y_2|x) + h(y_1, y_2|x),$$
(4)

which gives the covariance estimate

$$s_{x_j,w} \approx \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}_j} f_j(x)(x - \bar{x}_j)g(w|x)$$
 (5)

Introduction

**2** Methods



#### O Discussion

We simulated data through the model:

$$u(y_{ik}) = \beta_{k0} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_{ij}\beta_{kj} + \epsilon_{ik}$$

where

- $u(y_{ik}) = y_{ik}$  or logit(Pr( $Y_{ik} = 1$ ))
- $x_1 = SNP$ 's minor allele counts
- **x**<sub>2</sub> = continuous covariate
- **x**<sub>3</sub> = binary covariate

# Simulation Study Estimating $\beta$



# **Simulation Study Estimating p-values**



Fatty acids and conversion ratios

- Fatty acids are biomarkers of various cardiometabolic and cognitive health outcomes
- Conversion ratios illustrate how fatty acids are converted from one fatty acid to the next

Framingham Heart Study (Mailman et al., 2007)

- 12 fatty acid conversion ratios
- 362,330 SNPs
- 4,347,960 models: FA Ratio  $\sim \text{SNP} + \text{age} + \text{sex}$

Framingham Heart Study (Mailman et al., 2007)

- 12 fatty acid conversion ratios
- 362,330 SNPs
- 4,347,960 models: FA Ratio  $\sim \text{SNP} + \text{age} + \text{sex}$
- Disagreement rate of  $10/(4.3 \times 10^6)$
- Of the 10 disagreements:
  - 4 where PCSS failed to reject when IPD rejected H<sub>0</sub>,
  - 6 where PCSS rejected when IPD failed to reject

Introduction

**2** Methods

3 Results

### O Discussion

#### Takeaway

We can approximate linear models for products and logical combinations of phenotypes with a **flexible choice of covariates** using only readily available pre-computed summary statistics.

#### **Limitations and Future Work**

- Assessing the compounding of errors when modeling the product of  $\geq$  4 phenotypes
- Measuring sensitivity to missing data and other assumption violations
- Assumes access to certain PCSS
- Accounting for related individuals through kinship matrices

This work was made possible by the generous support of the NIH through grant 2R15HG006915-03.

I would also like to thank the wonderful collaborators who have contributed to this research:

- Dr. Nathan Tintle
- Jason Westra
- Martha Barnard

# Thank you!

Slides:https://bit.ly/IGESProductR Package:pcsstoolsTwitter:@\_jackmwolfEmail:WolfX681@umn.edu

# References

- Dutta, D., Scott, L., Boehnke, M., & Lee, S. (2019). Multi-SKAT: General framework to test for rare-variant association with multiple phenotypes. *Genetic Epidemiology*, 43(1), 4–23. URL http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/gepi.22156
- Gasdaska, A., Friend, D., Chen, R., Westra, J., Zawistowski, M., Lindsey, W., & Tintle, N. (2019). Leveraging summary statistics to make inferences about complex phenotypes in large biobanks. *Pacific Symposium on Biocomputing*, 24, 391–402.

URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6417828/

- Guo, B., & Wu, B. (2019). Integrate multiple traits to detect novel trait-gene association using GWAS summary data with an adaptive test approach. *Bioinformatics*, 35(13), 2251–2257. URL https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article/35/13/2251/5201342
- Mailman, M. D., Feolo, M., Jin, Y., Kimura, M., Tryka, K., Bagoutdinov, R., Hao, L., Kiang, A., Paschall, J., Phan, L., Popova, N., Pretel, S., Ziyabari, L., Lee, M., Shao, Y., Wang, Z. Y., Sirotkin, K., Ward, M., Kholodov, M., Zbicz, K., Beck, J., Kimelman, M., Shevelev, S., Preuss, D., Yaschenko, E., Graeff, A., Ostell, J., & Sherry, S. T. (2007). The NCBI dbGaP database of genotypes and phenotypes. *Nature Genetics*, 39(10), 1181–1186.

Ray, D., & Boehnke, M. (2018). Methods for meta-analysis of multiple traits using GWAS summary statistics. Genetic Epidemiology, 42(2), 134–145. URL http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/gepi.22105

- Wolf, J. M., Barnard, M., Xia, X., Ryder, N., Westra, J., & Tintle, N. (2020). Computationally efficient, exact, covariate-adjusted genetic principal component analysis by leveraging individual marker summary statistics from large biobanks. *Pacific Symposium on Biocomputing*, 25, 719–730. URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bmc/articles/PMc6907735/
- Wolf, J. M., & R Core Team and contributors worldwide (2021). pcsstools: Tools for Regression Using Pre-Computed Summary Statistics.

URL https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=pcsstools